Discussion of "US-China Tension" by John Rogers, Bo Sun and Tony Sun

Calvin Dun Jia

HSBC Business School Peking University

2023 China Financial Research Conference (CFRC)

PBC School of Finance
Tsinghua University

July 2, 2023

Brief Summary

- general motivation: concerning the negative impacts of the escalated US-China tension, both politically and economically
- A very interesting and well-executed empirical paper that
- 1. provides a text-based and time-varying measure of the degree of US-China tension (UCT)
- 2. identifies the real impacts of UCT on firm-level outcomes and the economic aggregates
- 3. explores the transmission mechanism and the robustness of results
- key mechanism: UCT brings forth negative impacts mainly through the *uncertainty channels*
- wait-and-see effect/real-option effect (Abel and Aberly, 1996; Bloom, 2009)
- larger impacts if a firm is more exposed to the "rising uncertainty driven by UCT"

Sketch of the Implementations

- measurement
- share of newspaper articles of 7 U.S. major sources discussing "US-China Tension" if (1) U {U.S., Washington}; (2) C {China, Chinese, Beijing}; (3) T {phrasesreflectingtensionsof "contentiousissues"}
- ▶ for the robustness of results and good interpretability of the measured index
- earnings-call text-based firm-level measure of UCT
- firm exposure to UCT: stock-level beta on UCT
- firm exposure to China: (1) trade intensity with China; (2) U.S. firms stock returns loading on China's A-share market returns
- Firm-level Q-investment regressions; VAR; decomposition of materialized vs. uncertainty

Roadmap of Comments

- Structural interpretation of measured UCT
- ► A Test for the Trade Decoupling
- ► Fitting into the literature: "China Shocks"
- Persistent vs. Transitory Shocks concerning 1st and 2nd moment shock correlations
- ▶ Investment Drops vs. Investment Pull from China
- Additional Details

Comment 1. Structural Interpretation of UCT

- Current definition of UCT
- "the realization and uncertainty about escalation of bilateral barriers that disrupt the normal course of economic transactions between the two countries."
- some economic terms well defined
- [example 1] (policy) uncertainty: mean-preserving second moment of fundamentals/policy
- [example 2] precautionary motive: marginal propensity of actions in response to second moment variations
- [example 3] Knightian Uncertainty (imperfect knowledge about the odds and the outcomes) vs. Risk (random outcome with known probability of distribution)
- ▶ it's always good to measure something that is well motivated or defined at least based on some very simple theory
- meanings of "defining": definite and distinct with exact limits (Cambridge Dictionary Online)
- so far, the measured content can improve to be more "definitive and distinctive"

- 1. China's first moment shocks?
- slowing down and the industrial policies in China (C) may provoke the U.S. (U) to escalate tech embargo (T)

- 1. China's first moment shocks?
- slowing down and the industrial policies in China (C) may provoke the U.S. (U) to escalate tech embargo (T)
- 2. China's second moment shocks?
- undergoing structural reform and regulation shifts in China (C) that trigger the U.S. (U) to change its political and economic policies dealing with rise of China (T)

- 1. China's first moment shocks?
- slowing down and the industrial policies in China (C) may provoke the U.S. (U) to escalate tech embargo (T)
- 2. China's second moment shocks?
- undergoing structural reform and regulation shifts in China (C) that trigger the U.S. (U) to change its political and economic policies dealing with rise of China (T)
- 3. first moment shocks to the U.S. trade policy with China?
- the U.S. (U) loves the idea to raise trade barrier (T) to obstruct normal trades with China (C)

- 1. China's first moment shocks?
- slowing down and the industrial policies in China (C) may provoke the U.S. (U) to escalate tech embargo (T)
- 2. China's second moment shocks?
 - undergoing structural reform and regulation shifts in China (C) that trigger the U.S. (U) to change its political and economic policies dealing with rise of China (T)
- 3. first moment shocks to the U.S. trade policy with China?
- the U.S. (U) loves the idea to raise trade barrier (T) to obstruct normal trades with China (C)
- 4. policy uncertainty shocks to the U.S. trade policy with China?
- the U.S. (U) entertaining the "potential" to threat and materialize the trade attacks (T) to obstruct normal trades with China (C)

- 1. China's first moment shocks?
- slowing down and the industrial policies in China (C) may provoke the U.S. (U) to escalate tech embargo (T)
- 2. China's second moment shocks?
 - undergoing structural reform and regulation shifts in China (C) that trigger the U.S. (U) to change its political and economic policies dealing with rise of China (T)
- 3. first moment shocks to the U.S. trade policy with China?
- the U.S. (U) loves the idea to raise trade barrier (T) to obstruct normal trades with China (C)
- 4. policy uncertainty shocks to the U.S. trade policy with China?
- the U.S. (U) entertaining the "potential" to threat and materialize the trade attacks (T) to obstruct normal trades with China (C)
- 5. sentiment/perceived of one or all of these?
- purely amplified newspaper/media anxiety and sentiments orthogonal to all aforementioned above?

- ► Currently more about (4) and (5)
- ▶ identification issue
- some simple two-country model perhaps helps to clarify

Comment 2. A Test for the Trade Decoupling

- ▶ go back to the desired definition "barriers that disrupt the normal course of economic transactions between the two countries."
- ▶ to test what this UCI is really measuring
- a simple gravity model in international trades running regressions at the aggregate level

$$\ln(\textit{net exports}_t^{\textit{CN},\textit{US}}) = c + \alpha \ln(Y_{\textit{CN},t}) + \beta \ln(Y_{\textit{US},t}) + \gamma \frac{\textit{UCI}_t}{} + \epsilon_t$$

to best correspond to the definition, this is the test if this is a measure of trade frictions

Comment 3. Fitting into the Literature

- "China" Shocks Literature: earlier work like Autor et al. (2013, AER) highlights significant negative impacts of "Chinese imports competition/penetration" on U.S. job loss, unemployment, firm closure etc.
- is there any benefit from the U.S. perspective from decoupling with China?
- need to differentiate the gain from decoupling from the first moment negative shocks vs. adverse effects of uncertainty shocks
- short-run investment drops vs. long-run industrial recovery?
- perhaps to improve the paper scope and highlight the relative contribution to the literature involving China shocks

Comment 4. Forecastable vs. Unexpected Shocks

- escalated US-China tensions may be forecastable with a trend component, which results in the high persistence of UCI
- if it's an uncertainty story, try obtaining the unexpected/dramatic innovations to the UCI
- ▶ this is for causal interpretations of the regression results on firms' investments
- if it's an uncertainty story, there could be negative correlations with aggregate TFP/demand shocks (Bloom et al., 2018 ECMA)
- controlling for Chinese net imports/exports in the regressions
- ▶ two country model implications: controlling for GDP growth, CPI growth and the exchange rate

Comment 5. Investment Drops vs. Investment Pull from China

- ▶ if it's about the shock exposure to UCI uncertainty specific to China, can U.S. firms re-optimize the business operations across countries?
 - to see drops in the RHS variable: FDI to China/Firm-to-Firm links, M & A in China/trade linkages with Chinese firms etc.
 - firms can re-establish business in other countries or outsource from other countries
 - any room for hedging against the risk of running into UCI, this is espectially true if UCI is persistent and forecastable?
- ▶ if real measures are informative, e.g. firm's linkage to China (trade), stock beta, try highlighting the value added from doing textual analysis?

Additional Details

- ▶ Figure 3. what explains the divergence of two different measures over 2018Q1 to 2020Q1?
- contrast and compare, replace UCI with EPU in VAR
- alternative to isolate the materialized risks: past tense
- any statistical test on the power differences of which channels dominates, the realized vs. risk component of UCT?
- what do we read from China's reading of UCI different from others of advanced economies, perhaps, directional UCI also matters?
- ▶ Table 13 in the appendix. interesting to see R&D positively correlated with EPU but negatively correlated with UCI

